1	A New Semi-empirical Model of the Peak Electron Density
2	of the Martian Ionosphere
3	
4	Michael Mendillo ⁽¹⁾ , Angela G. Marusiak ⁽¹⁾ , Paul Withers ⁽¹⁾ , David Morgan ⁽²⁾ , and
5	Donald Gurnett ⁽²⁾
6	1. Center for Space Physics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.
7	2. Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa,
8	USA.
9	Corresponding Author: M. Mendillo, Center for Space Physics, Boston University,
10	Boston, MA 02215, USA. (mendillo@bu.edu)
11	Key Points:
12	• Mars' peak electron density data used to make semi-empirical global model
13	• Mars ionosphere model extends from 1964 through 2019
14	• Mars ionospheric model available for upcoming NASA MAVEN mission
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

Abstract

Observations of the ionosphere of Mars have now reached a sufficient number to begin discussions on how best to create an empirically-based model of its global morphology. Here we use nearly 113,000 values of maximum electron density (N_{max}) obtained from 2005 to 2012 by the Mars Advanced Radar for Subsurface and Ionospheric Sounding (MARSIS) on board the Mars Express satellite. At the altitude of peak density, photo-chemical processes dominate over dynamical effects, and thus values of N_{max} can be organized using three basic parameters: Solar flux, solar zenith angle, and orbital distance. The model can be used retrospectively to provide N_{max} values for any date starting in 1965. Forecasts are possible using predicted solar flux values extending to the end of solar cycle 24. Validations using Viking in situ observations and radio occultation measurements from several satellite missions provide encouraging results for a useful semi-empirical climatological model. Index Terms: Modeling and forecasting, ionization processes, Mars Keywords: Mars, empirical modeling, ionosphere, MARSIS, MEX

43 1. Introduction.

44 The first-generation of observations of Mars' ionosphere, distributed over ~13 years 45 (1965-1978, see Table 1 in *Mendillo et al.*, [2003]), provided discovery-mode 46 information about the vertical structure of the martian plasma environment. Yet, these 47 initial data sets were far too sparse to be used as the basis for an empirical global model. 48 The second-generation series of radio occultation experiments at Mars yielded a total of 49 5600 N_e(h) profiles from the Mars Global Surveyor (MGS) satellite [*Hinson et al.*, 1999], 50 and a continuing series of profiles from the ESA's Mars Express (MEX) mission [Pätzold 51 et al., 2005]. The science yield from these observations has been substantial, ranging 52 from descriptions of basic morphology to disturbances associated with solar flares, 53 meteor impacts, and crustal magnetic fields [see reviews in Haider et al., 2011; Withers, 54 2009]. The geometrical constraints associated with most of these radio occultation 55 observations (high latitudes and high solar zenith angles) were still too restrictive for the specification of global morphologies. 56

57 The MEX satellite has a second radio science instrument, the Mars Advanced Radar for Subsurface and Ionospheric Sounding (MARSIS). MARSIS has two distinct modes 58 59 of radar operations—an Active Ionosphere Sounder (AIS) [Gurnett et al., 2005] and a 60 Sub-Surface (SS) radar mode [Picardi et al., 2005]. The former measures the electron 61 densities down to the height of maximum electron density (N_{max}); the second mode 62 obtains the integral of the full electron density profile, called the total electron content 63 (TEC). MARSIS observations can, in principle, be conducted at any time or location, and 64 very large databases of N_{max} and TEC are available to begin formulations of a global 65 model of Mars' ionosphere.

66 2. Approach.

67 In this paper, we describe our attempt to construct a semi-empirical model (meaning 68 numerical parameterizations, guided by theory, of observed patterns) of the martian 69 ionosphere. The ideal approach would be to use observations of the full electron density 70 profiles but, as described above, that is simply not possible from the limited distribution 71 of samplings obtained from radio occultation methods. To create a baseline model of the 72 martian ionosphere, we decided to focus initially on N_{max} as the parameter most 73 descriptive of the magnitude of the ionosphere on any given day. This choice allows 74 parameterizations of observed patterns to be approached using well-known and easily-75 available photochemical parameters. These include the Sun's irradiance reaching Mars 76 (represented by the solar radio flux proxy, F10.7), and the solar zenith angle (SZA) at the 77 orbital distance (d) of Mars on a specific day. Many previous studies have shown that 78 N_{max} (e.g., *Němec et al.*, [2011]) and TEC (e.g., *Lillis et al.*, [2010]) at Mars follow these 79 parameters, as photo-chemical theory demands.

80 A first attempt to create an observation-based model for Mars' ionosphere was 81 presented in *Němec et al.* [2011] using the equations from basic Chapman Theory. In their formulation, attention was focused on altitudes at and above the height of N_{max} . 82 83 Observations came from MARSIS/AIS, as well as *in-situ* plasma densities measured at 84 the spacecraft altitude [Duru et al., 2008], between August'05 and December'09. Their 85 focus was on defining correction factors to Chapman Theory's N_e(h) profile parameters 86 when observations were organized by their SZA and F10.7 values. Here we deal only 87 with the peak electron density, and use the photo-chemical equilibrium equation for a molecular ion/electron plasma, thus removing concerns about the inappropriateassumptions within Chapman theory for the full electron density profile.

The MARSIS/AIS data archive now has 112,718 values of N_{max} spanning the years 2005-2012, thereby providing a more extensive set of observations (by a factor of ~3.5) and a broader range of solar flux values than available at the time of the *Němec et al*. [2011] study. It is this database that we use to create a semi-empirical ionospheric model for Mars.

95 3. Method.

96 For each of the MARSIS N_{max} values, there is an associated UT date, solar zenith 97 angle (SZA), solar flux (F10.7), and distance from the Sun (d in AU). At the peak of the 98 ionosphere where the plasma frequency is determined, and the local electron density 99 represents a balance between production and loss, there is a simple radial dependence on 100 distance from the Sun (d), i.e., $N_{max} \sim 1/d$ [Mendillo et al., 2003]. The solar radio flux at 101 10.7 cm has long been used as a proxy for the EUV radiation responsible for N_{max} . While 102 direct measurements of solar irradiance at the appropriate wavelengths for photo-103 ionization are now available, our initial choice in model development is to continue to 104 use F10.7 since it is available for both retroactive validations (to 1965) as well as for 105 predictions during the upcoming MAVEN mission (2014). F10.7 measurements at Earth are routinely adjusted via $1/d^2$ to its value at 1 AU—thereby giving an index of pure solar 106 107 activity. Using these two well known radial dependences for photo-chemical processes, 108 the F10.7 solar fluxes at Earth and the MARSIS N_{max} data at d were adjusted to their 109 values at Mars' orbital mean distance (1.524 AU). In addition, the orbital longitude 110 separation of Mars from Earth are used to determine the terrestrial date most appropriate

111 (assuming solar rotation only changes) for the effective F10.7 to use at the time of an 112 observation at Mars. With these adjustment made, all of the N_{max} data were then sorted by 113 solar zenith angle and F10.7 at 1.524 AU. The results appear in Figure 1(a).

114 The pattern of N_{max} versus SZA and solar flux shown in panel (a) represents a new 115 aeronomic format for the portrayal of a molecular ion plasma in photo-chemical 116 equilibrium, and thus some initial discussion is needed. The coverage is obviously not 117 complete, but the highest values do appear where the SZA is small and the F10.7 is high 118 (shown by the bright yellow values). At high SZAs (top), the contours are essentially 119 horizontal for all solar fluxes-showing the rapid transitions to low N_{max} values that 120 occur when the SZA approaches 90° (the dawn and dusk terminators). At low SZAs, the 121 pattern is quite different— the contours take on a more vertical appearance (as shown in 122 the dark green contour in the lower left)—indicating the daytime ionosphere getting 123 more robust as solar fluxes increase. With confidence thus established that the MARSIS 124 N_{max} morphology is consistent with basic photo-chemical equilibrium (PCE) theory, the 125 next step was to extend these partial trends in SZA and F10.7 to their full range of 126 possible values. The well-known PCE equation relating electron density to SZA and solar 127 flux via square-root dependences was weighted by the amount of data in each bin shown 128 in panel (a) to yield a smooth pattern for N_{max} at 1.524 AU, given by

129
$$N_{\max(SZA,Flux)} = 1.41 \times \frac{10^5 e^-}{cm^3} \times \left[\frac{Flux}{30} \times \cos(SZA)\right]^{1/2}$$
 (1)

The results are presented in panel (b) of Figure 1. One can see a reasonable representation of the partial pattern of data versus F10.7 and SZA in (a) by the full dependence climatology shown in (b). We stress that the extension of the model to very high solar fluxes is shown for illustration only; such conditions have been observed in theEarth's ionosphere but not yet at Mars.

135 4. Validation.

136 We first tested the model against individual measurements of N_{max} made by the Viking 137 landers, and then with several radio occultation experiments spanning different levels of 138 solar activity. In each case, the specific measurement date was used to determine the 139 distance of Mars from the Sun. Then the solar rotation angle was found to relate the 140 appropriate day for the F10.7 value observed at Earth (adjusted to 1 AU) to use at Mars' 141 average distance (1.524 AU). The resulting N_{max} value for the SZA observed was then 142 determined from panel (b) of Figure 1, as given by equation (1); it was then adjusted via a 143 1/d dependence to yield the model prediction. Table 1 gives the Viking-1&2 results 144 together with a selection of radio occultation observations from several missions. In most 145 cases, the model-data agreement is acceptable, if not excellent, while two cases 146 (consecutive days) from the Mariner-9 mission show disagreements of 25-50%.

147 To quote uncertainty levels associated with the model we returned to the basic data set 148 used to derive it. As pointed out in *Duru et al.* [2008], the plasma frequency can be 149 measured by MARSIS/AIS with an accuracy of about $\pm 1\%$, and thus uncertainties in 150 N_{max} are about $\pm 2\%$. This is always less than the standard deviations about the mean 151 values of N_{max} within the SZA-F10.7 bins shown in Figure 1(a). This prompted us to 152 quote uncertainty ranges associated with the model using the observed σ (in percent) of 153 the bin-by-bin mean values of N_{max} in Figure 1(a). As might be anticipated, the MARSIS 154 $\sigma(\%)$ trend revealed a basic dependence upon the magnitude of N_{max}. Using quantitative groupings of peak density in units of $10^4 \text{ e}/\text{cm}^3$, we found that for N_{max} > 16, σ = 5%; for 155

156 $16 > N_{max} > 10$, $\sigma = 15\%$; for $10 > N_{max} > 4$, $\sigma = 20\%$ and for $N_{max} \le 4$, $\sigma = 30\%$. These 157 are the origins of the $\sigma(\%)$ values in Table 1. To illustrate how the full rage of SZA 158 would lead to different uncertainties, we show in Figure 2(a) the case of the Viking-2 159 Lander. The abrupt changes in uncertainty come directly from the parameterization 160 described.

161 Panel (b) in Figure 2 shows results from the model's capability to predict N_{max} for a 162 future date: MAVEN's Mars Orbit Insertion (MOI), approximated as 15 September 163 2014. To make pure predictions, an estimate of solar flux is needed, which the model 164 takes from the NOAA predictions of monthly mean values for the remaining years of 165 solar cycle #24 (www.swpc.noaa.gov/SolarCycle/SC24). For the uncertainty levels to associate with predictions, the model combines the uncertainties in F10.7 (130 \pm 9.0) 166 167 with its parameterization of N_{max} variability (described above) to yield the somewhat 168 higher uncertainty limits shown for MAVEN's MOI date.

169 A different type of validation opportunity comes from the nearly continuous 170 observations made by the MGS radio occultation experiment from 1 Nov 2000 to 6 June 2001. This MGS "period-4" data set has the broadest span of SZAs (71.8°-86.9°), and 171 172 has been used in several previous studies summarized in *Haider et al.* [2011]. We ran the 173 model for each of the 1572 N_{max} observations, and the data-model comparisons are shown in Figure 3. There is good agreement between the climatological model's peak 174 densities and the actual N_{max} values observed for most of the values below ~ $11 \times 10^4 \text{ e}^-$ 175 $/cm^{3}$. To explore why the model over-estimates N_{max} above a certain threshold, we plot 176 177 in Figure 4(a) the same model-data comparisons in a time series format, with data shown 178 by gray dots and model results by the red dots. Panels (b) and (c) give the solar flux and

179 SZA at Mars at the same times. As pointed out when discussing Figure 1, the coupled 180 dependence of N_{max} upon SZA and F10.7 separates at the extremes of SZA: for high 181 SZA values (as with MGS period-4), SZA dominates; for low SZAs (not available from 182 MGS observations) solar flux dominates. Thus, the overall N_{max} morphology in the top 183 panel is due to the SZA pattern in the lower panel. The solar flux values are basically 184 constant at ~60 F10.7 units, except for short periods of solar variability. These include 185 periods of low flux (early Nov and Dec), and more dramatic cases of high flux (late Mar 186 and Apr). These are the points with maximum departures from the diagonal in Figure 3. 187 The lack of robust correlations between ionospheric densities and solar flux proxies is 188 a well-known statistical effect in terrestrial aeronomy. The linear correlations between F-189 layer plasma and F10.7 (e.g., *Liu et al.*, [2009]), and the quadratic correlation between E-190 layer densities and F10.7 [*Titheridge*, 1997], break down when F10.7 exceeds ~170-180 191 units. This effect is often called "saturation"-meaning that observed values are lower 192 than predicted by high F10.7 values. Physically, it points out that F10.7 does not portray 193 the ionizing wavelengths (EUV and X-rays) correctly over their full range of possible 194 values [Richards et al., 1994]. For a semi-empirical model, a sudden increase in solar 195 flux value can appear as a solar maximum irradiance acting upon a solar minimum 196 background neutral atmosphere (thereby giving erroneous values). In reality, the 197 atmosphere also adjusts to higher solar fluxes, but not as instantaneously as photo-198 ionization occurs. The F10.7 value of ~170 units at 1 AU corresponds to ~75 units at 199 Mars's mean distance, and panel (b) in Figure 4 shows this to be the case, i.e., the 200 "saturation" effect in Figure 3 is due to the inability of a semi-empirical model to handle 201 solar active regions. Solutions adopted in terrestrial aeronomy have been (a) to add a 202 constant to all F10.7 values thereby lessening the impact of high values [*Titheridge*,
203 1997], or (b) to average a daily F10.7 with its three-solar-rotation average [*Richards et al.*, 1994] to provide context for a specific daily value:

$$F_{effective} = \left[\frac{(F10.7)_{day} + \langle F10.7 \rangle_{81-day}}{2}\right]$$
(2)

205 Such a flux modification to our Mars model is shown by the blue dots in panel (a) of 206 Figure 4. The results are clearly in the correct direction, with the model's low values 207 being increased in Nov and Dec 2000, and its high values being decreased in Mar and 208 Apr 2001. While the maximum values from the model no longer exceed actual 209 observations, concerns remain, e.g., the time-lag in response seen in the data for late 210 March-early April, an effect not seen in the late April period; the model also 211 overestimates N_{max} during the rapidly changing SZAs in May-June. Within the context 212 of Figure 3, the equation (2) modification to the model results in the points at the lower 213 left of the plot being moved to the right, while those at the upper end move to the left—in 214 both cases falling closer to the diagonal. The formal statistic improvement in data-model 215 correlation using the Pearson correlation coefficients is from 0.88 to 0.91.

216 5. Summary.

We have used a database of over 100,000 observations of the peak electron density of the ionosphere of Mars, spanning portions of solar cycles 23 and 24, merged with simple photo-chemical theory, to develop a first-order global model of Mars' ionosphere. Future validations and model improvements should include attempts to incorporate episodic effects due to dust storms, solar active regions, energetic particles, and coronal mass ejections. Additional challenges come from spatial sources of disturbance, e.g., crustal magnetic fields and topological features. As with terrestrial aeronomy, day-to-day variability driven by sources below the ionosphere (waves and tides) are the mostdifficult to include in a model.

226	The present version of our model is called the Mars Initial Reference Ionosphere
227	(MIRI Mark-1); it is available as a resource to use and to test via an interactive web site
228	(http://sirius.bu.edu/miri). Users simply input a date and MIRI generates a plot in the
229	format shown in Figure 2 for either past or future dates. The authors welcome reports of
230	model-data comparisons, as well as comments and suggestions on ways to proceed.
231	
232	
233	
234	
235	
236	
237	
238	
239	
240	
241	
242	
243	
244	
245	
246	

247	Acknowledgements: At Boston University, this work was supported, in part, by
248	undergraduate student internship programs within the Center for Space Physics, by the
249	comparative aeronomy component of NSF grant #1123222 (MM, PI), and by NASA
250	MCDAP grant #NMX12AJ39G (PW, PI). Undergraduates Trey Wenger and Gerard
251	Lawler created the MIRI website and helped with the validations, respectively. Clara
252	Narvaez helped with manuscript preparation and submission. The MARSIS/AIS program
253	at the University of Iowa was supported by NASA through contract #1224107 with the
254	Jet Propulsion Laboratory.
255	
256	
257	
258	
259	
260	
261	
262	
263	
264	
265	
266	
267	
268	
269	

References

- 270
- Bougher, S., S. Engel, D. Hinson, and J. Forbes (2001), Mars Global Surveyor radio
 science electron density profiles: Neutral atmosphere implications, Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 28, 3091-3094, doi:10.1029/2001GL012884.
- 273 Lett., 28, 3091-3094, doi:10.1029/2001GL012884.
- Duru, F., D. Gurnett, D. Morgan, R. Modolo, A. Nagy, and D. Najib (2008), Electron
 densities in the upper ionosphere of Mars from the excitation of electron plasma
 oscillations, J. Geophys. Res., 113, A07302, doi:10.1029/2008JA013073.
- 277 Fjeldbo, G., W. Fjeldbo and V. Eshleman (1966), Models for the atmosphere of Mars
- based on the Mariner 4 Occultation Experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 71(9), 2307-2316,
 doi:10.1029/JZ07li009p02307.
- 280 Gurnett, D., D. Kirchner, R. Huff, D. Morgan, A. Persoon, T. Averkamp, F. Duru, E.
- Nielsen, A Safaeinili, J. Plaut, and G. Picardi (2005), Radar sounding of the
 ionosphere of Mars, Science, 310, 1929-1933, doi:10.1126/science.1121868.
- Haider, S., K. Mahajan, and E. Kallio (2011), Mars Ionosphere: A review of
 experimental results and modeling studies, Rev. Geophys., 49, RG4001,
 doi :10.1029/2011RG000357.
- Hanson, W., S. Sanatani, and D. Zuccaro (1977), The Martian ionosphere as observed by
 the Viking retarding potential analyzers, J.Geophys. Res., 82,
 doi:10.1029/JS082i028p04351.
- Hinson, D., R. Simpson, J. Twicken, G. Tyler, and F. Flasar (1999), Initial results from
- radio occultation measurements with Mars Global Surveyor, J. Geophys. Res., 104,
- 291 26,997-27,012, doi:10.1029/1999JE001069.

- Kliore, A., G. Fjeldbo, B. Seidel, M. Sykes, and P. Woiceshyn (1973), S band radio
 occultation measurements of the atmosphere and topography of Mars with Mariner
- 9: Extended mission coverage of polar and intermediate latitudes, J. Geophys. Res.,

295 78, 4331-4351, doi:10.1029/JB078i020p04331.

- Lillis, R., D. Brain, S. England, P. Withers, M. Fillingim, and A. Safaeinili (2010), Total
 electron content in the Mars ionosphere: Temporal studies and dependence on solar
 EUV flux, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/2010JA015698.
- Liu, L. W. Wan, B. Ning, and M.L. Zhang (2009), Climatology of the mean total electron
 content derived from GPS global ionospheric maps, J. Geophys. Res., 114, A06308,
 doi:10.1029/2009JA014244.
- Mendillo, M., A. Lollo, P. Withers, M. Matta, M. Patzold, and S. Tellmann (2011),
 Modeling Mars' ionosphere with constraints from same-day observations by Mars
 Global Surveyor and Mars Express, J. Geophys. Res., 116, A11303,
 doi:10.1029/2011JA016865.
- Mendillo, M., S. Smith, J. Wroten, and H. Rishbeth (2003), Simultaneous ionospheric
 variability on Earth and Mars, J. Geophys. Res., 108 (A12), 1432,
 doi:10.1029/JA00996.
- Němec, F., D. Morgan, D. Gurnett, F. Duru, and V. Truhlík (2011), Dayside ionosphere
 of Mars: Empirical model based on data from the MARSIS instrument, J. Geophys.
 Res., 116, E07003, doi:10.1029/2010JE003789.
- Pätzold, M., S. Tellmann, B. Häusler, D. Hinson, R. Schaa, and G. Tyler (2005), A
 sporadic third layer in the ionosphere of Mars, Science, 310, 837-839,
 doi:10.1126/science.1117755.

- 315 Picardi, G., et al. (2005), Radar soundings of the subsurface of Mars, Science, 310
 316 (5756), 1925–1928, doi:10.1126/science.1122165.
- Richards, P., J. Fennelly, and D. Torr (1994), EUVAC: A solar EUV flux model for
 aeronomic calculations, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 9981-8992.
- Titheridge, J. (1997), Model results for the ionospheric E region: solar and seasonal
 changes, Ann. Geophysicae, 15, 63-78.
- 321 Withers, P. (2009), A review of observed variability in the dayside ionosphere of Mars,
- 322 Adv. Space Res., 44, 277-307, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2009.04.027.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. (a) Maximum Electron density (N_{max}) of Mars' ionosphere portrayed on a grid of solar zenith angle (SZA) and solar flux (F10.7) at Mars' mean orbital distance of 1.524 AU. (b) A photo-chemical equilibrium solution of N_{max} vs. solar flux and SZA (Eqn 1, with flux = F10.7) normalized by the data bin with maximum observations (SZA = 46°, F10.7 = 30, N_{max} = 11.4 x 10⁴ e⁷/cm³). Model predictions for high F10.7 are to illustrate solar cycle effects Figure 2. Examples of runs of the model for (a) a past date (the Viking-2 lander) and

(b) a future date (MAVEN's arrival at Mars). Both input and output parameters are shown and the blue asterisk gives the N_{max} value at the SZA of the Viking-2 lander. The uncertainty levels come from parameterizations of observed variabilities and, for predictions, of solar cycle F10.7 uncertainties (see text).

Figure 3. Comparison of model results with MGS observations of N_{max} during a period of nearly continuous observations (Nov 2000 – Jun 2001). For a climatological model, agreement is acceptable except at the extremes of the diagonal line where the model under-predicts low values and over-predicts high values (see text).

Figure 4. (a) Time-series of the data and model results given in Figure 3 with simultaneous values of (b) F10.7 and (c) SZA at Mars. Data points are shown in gray, with model results using equation 2 (Flux= $F_{effective}$) in blue. Light blue shading portrays model uncertainties. The vertical dash-dot lines indicate the two time periods when F10.7 ≥ 75 units (see text).

359

Tables

Date	Mission	SZA	RF at	N _{max}	N _{max}
			1AU	observed	Predicted
				$(10^4 e^{-7} cm^3)$	$(10^4 e^{-7} cm^3)$
20 July 1976	Viking Lander 1 ^a	43.5	70.2	10.3	10.3 <u>+</u> 1.6
3 Sept 1976	Viking Lander 2 ^a	46.4	70.8	10.0	10.6 <u>+</u> 1.6
12 Dec 2004	MEX Radio	83	95.6	5	5.3 <u>+</u> 1.1
	Occultation ^b				
12 Dec 2004	MGS Radio	78	95.6	7.1	6.9 <u>+</u> 1.4
	Occultation ^b				
24 May 1972		76.3	162.8	9.9	9.2 <u>+</u> 1.8
7 June 1972	Mariner 9 ^c	72.9	110.8	12	8.3 <u>+</u> 1.7
6 June 1972		73.2	114.3	10.4	8.4 <u>+</u> 1.7
13 June 1972		72.5	137.5	10.2	9.4 <u>+</u> 1.8
<1 January 2001>	MGS Radio	<78.8>	170.9	8.5	8.4 <u>+</u> 1.7
	Science ^d				
14 June 1965	Mariner 4 ^e	67	80.7	9.5	8.7 <u>+</u> 1.7

362 Table 1. Summary of Model Predictions for Sample Data Sets.

363 ^a Hanson et al.[1977], ^b Mendillo et al. [2011], ^c Kliore et al. [1973], ^d Bougher et

al.[2001], ^e*Fjeldbo et al.*[1966].













383 Figure 3.





